

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/635,061	NAVE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Douglas E. Mazzuca	3726	

All Participants:

(1) Douglas E. Mazzuca.

(2) Steven Mason.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 March 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Claims discussed:

1


Prior art documents discussed:
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Added the word –longitudinal– in front of the word plane. Also added the claim language of claim 1 to paragraph 0022 of the specification.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


George Nguyen
Primary Examiner


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/635,061	NAVE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Douglas E. Mazzuca	3726	

All Participants:

(1) Douglas E. Mazzuca.

(2) Justin M. Dillon.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 26 and 27

Prior art documents discussed:

Palmer et al. US (4,369,946)

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An agreement was reached as to the claim language of claims 1, 4, and the cancelation of claims 26 and 27.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


George Nguyen
Primary Examiner


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)